

Preventative Instructional Strategies

Gersten, Chard, Jayanthi, Baker, Morphy and Flojo (2009) found the following strategies to be effective when teaching students with math learning disabilities.

Explicit Instruction. Effective techniques for explicit instruction ranged from providing students with a step-by-step plan for solving specific math problems to visual representations (Gersten et al., 2009, p. 1228). The authors concluded that visual representations improved student performance, but a greater effect was shown when used in combination with other instructional components. Furthermore, when specific visual representations were provided, students learned more than from less specific visuals (p. 1229).

Student Verbalization. Student verbalizations of their thinking or of explicit instructions has been shown to be an effective teaching method (Gersten et al., 2009). These findings suggest that it is important to teach students how to use their oral language skills to enhance their learning (p. 1230).

Feedback. Providing ongoing feedback to students on their effort as opposed to their abilities was shown to have the greatest impact on performance (Gersten et al., 2009, p. 1231)

Sample #1 and #2 demonstrate how dyscalculia can affect a student's understanding of place value. The student is exhibiting a conceptual error as determined by the Diagnosis, Prescription and Remediation model.

Sample #1

Express the following number words as numbers:

1. **fifty seven**
507
2. **six hundred forty two**
60042
3. **seven hundred fifty thousand fifty eight**
700500058

Sample #2

Write the correct answer below each question:

1. **Which is larger? 13 or 31?**
They are equal
2. **Which is larger? 41 or 39?**
39

Error Pattern Intervention

Educators should consider utilizing the Mathematics Improvement Plan and the Data analysis sheet if students should require additional support. These resources will allow educators to develop and implement effective assessments to evaluate students' abilities (Sherman, Richardson & Yard, 2009). Moreover, a three-stage model can be used to accurately identify and address a student's mathematical needs. The first stage of the model is called *Diagnosis*, because educators identify the error a child is making. *Prescription* is the second stage, because educators brainstorm a list of manipulatives which can strengthen a child's skills, to minimize the occurrence of the error. The final stage is *Remediation*, because educators develop activities that incorporate the prescribed resources (Sherman, Richardson & Yard, 2009).

References

- Cunningham, T. (2016). How are LDs in mathematics typically diagnosed?. Retrieved from <https://www.ldatschool.ca/ate-mathematics-diagnosed/>
- Desoete, A. (2008). Comorbidities in mathematical learning disabilities: Rule of exception?. *The Open Rehabilitation Journal*, 1, 15-26.
- Geary, D. (2004). Mathematics and learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 37(1), 4-15.
- Gersten, R., Chard, D. J., Jayanthi, M., Baker, S. K., Morphy, P., & Flojo, J. (2009). Mathematics instruction for students with learning disabilities: A meta-analysis of instructional components. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(3), 1202-1242.
- Kaufmann, L., & Aster, M. (2012). The diagnosis and management of dyscalculia. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, 109(45), 767-778.
- National Centre for Learning Disabilities. (2014). The state of learning disabilities. Retrieved from <https://www.nclد.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/2014-State-of-LD.pdf>
- National Center for Learning Disabilities. (2017). Dyscalculia. Retrieved from <http://www.readingrockets.org/article/dyscalculia>
- Sherman, H.J., Richardson, L. I., & Yard, G. J. (2009). *Teaching Learners Who Struggle with Mathematics: Systematic Intervention and Remediation*. (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education Inc.